



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|
| 10/549,570 | 05/24/2006 | Laure Carbonne | 0563-1055 | 9532 |
| <small>466</small> YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | <small>7590</small> EXAMINER COLEMAN, KEITH A | |
| | | | <small>07/06/2009</small> ART UNIT 3747 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 07/06/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: LAURE CARBONNE, ALAIN GONZALEZ, ROGER
ROUPHAEL, and ROBERTUS WINGERHOEDS

Application No. 10/549,570
Technology Center 3700

Mailed: July 6, 2009

Before Eric Hawthorne, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team*.
Hawthorne, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 1, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S CONSIDERATION OF REPLY BRIEF

A Reply Brief was filed on February 26, 2009, in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed December 26, 2008.

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §41.43 states:

(a)(1)... the primary examiner must acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief. In addition, the primary examiner may withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution or may furnish a supplemental examiner's answer responding to any new issue raised in the reply brief.

The Communication mailed June 1, 2009, was an improper acknowledgment of the Reply Brief, as it constitutes a Supplemental Examiner's Answer as per MPEP§ 1208, part II. A Supplemental Examiner's Answer requires a Director or designee's approval.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

1) vacate the Communication mailed June 1, 2009;

2) generate and mail either:

a) a revised Communication properly acknowledging to the Reply Brief dated February 26, 2009, in accordance with MPEP§ 1208, part II.; OR

b) issue a Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the required signature (Technology Center Director or designee), if appropriate;

and

3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

EH/alw

YOUNG & THOMPSON
209 Madison Street
Suite 500
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314